

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	O. FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	OR ATTORNEY DOCKET	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/743,023		03/07/2001	Barbro Hemmendorfi	10806-155	3513	
24256	7590	02/11/2005	•		EXAMINER	
	ORE & SHO		CHUNDU	CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA		
1900 CHEMED CENTER 255 EAST FIFTH STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINN	ATI, OH 4	15202		1637	1637	
				DATE MAILED: 02/1	1/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

d	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antique Occurrence	09/743,023	HEMMENDORFF ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Suryaprabha Chunduru	1637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH:	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S	<u>eptember 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Status

- 1. The current application was returned by the BPAI after an affirmance in part decision. The decision indicated that claims 1-3, 6-7, 11, 13-14, 16-17, 20 in Groups I and II were affirmed over Builder et al., claims 5, 15 and 22 are vacated in view of Builder et al. and claims 8, 12, 18-19, 21 were reversed under 35 USC 102(e). Claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-22 were appealed. So rejections regarding claims 1-3, 6-7, 11, 13-14, 16-17, 20 were affirmed and these claims are closed for further prosecution, in accordance with MPEP 1214.06(III) and the previous grounds of rejection are maintained as affirmed by the BPAI and not appealed to the Federal Circuit.
- 2. Claims 5, 8, 12, 15, 18-19, 21-22 represent claims which were not in rejections affirmed by the BPAI. These claims will therefore be treated below. In order to simplify prosecution, the claims will be treated as independent and include all the limitations of the broadest independent claim from which they depend for purposes of the prior art rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5, 15, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As suggested by the Board on page 7 of the Board decision, Claims 5, 15 and 22 are indefinite and unclear in the light of the specification. The instant claims recite 'pH is equal to or lower than pH 7. The meets and bounds of the claims are unclear in the light of the specification

Art Unit: 1637

because the instant specification discloses several pH adjustments of the cell concentrates of the culture medium above pH 7.0 (see at least examples 1-4) which do not fall within the scope of the instant claim limitations. Thus it is unclear to which process step, the claimed pH limitations would meet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5, 8, 12, 15, 18-19, 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Builder et al. (USPN. 5,663,304).

Builder et al. teach a method of claims 5, 8, 12, 15, 18-19, 21-22 for production of recombinant peptides comprising fermenting cells (host cells) to produce recombinant peptides in the presence of metal salt (alkali metal salt) prior to peptide isolation (see column 26, lines 34-67, column 27, 1-67, column 28, lines 15-33, column 6, lines 42-67, and column 7, lines 1-9).

Art Unit: 1637

Builder also teach that (i) the use of metals facilitate disulfide oxidation of polypeptides and yield correct refolding of a misfolded polypeptide contained in host cells (see column 6, lines 42-60); metal salts include sodium chloride, potassium chloride, sodium phosphate, potassium phosphate (see column 28, lines 15-33, column 11, lines 42-54);

With regard to claims 5, 15, 22, Builder et al. teach that alkali metal salt buffer (pH 10.5) was added after fermentation and pH was adjusted to 3.5 with phosphoric acid (see column 28, lines 28-33 and column 16, lines 47-55);

With regard to claims 8, 12, 18-19, 21, Builder et al. teach that the method includes human growth hormone as a preferred mammalian polypeptide (see column 9, lines 11-18).

It would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify or substitute the method of producing a recombinant polypeptide as taught by Builder et al. with the step of producing a recombinant human growth hormone to achieve expected advantage of developing a method for manufacturing human growth hormone. An ordinary skill in the art would have reasonable expectation of success that the method would work as suggested by Builder et al. because Builder et al. have explicitly indicated that human growth hormone is a particularly preferred mammalian polypeptide according to their method (col. 9, line 11-20). Therefore an ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to modify the method of Builder et al. with the inclusion of the step of producing human growth hormone for the purpose of developing a method for production of human growth hormone, such modification of the method is considered functionally equivalent to the claimed method in the absence of secondary considerations.

Art Unit: 1637

Conclusion

No claims are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 571-272-0783. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M, Mon - Friday,

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Survaprabha Chunduru Examiner Art Unit 1637

Taxein C. Chamber

Page 5

JASEMINE C. CHAMBERS

DIRECTOR

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600